Drug-target network of taxanes revealed by data mining

Shao-Jun Chen*, Wei-Cai and Zhao-Hui Li
Department of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Zhejiang Pharmaceutical College, Ningbo, China

Abstract: Taxanes, mainly group paclitaxel and docetaxel, are amongst the most promising anticancer agents that are widely used for a variety of tumor types. It is a great challenge to gain a quick overview of the molecular mechanisms of taxanes, owning to the massive amounts of data have been produced. Network pharmacology will be a powerful tool to uncover the drug-targets network of taxanes. In this study, drug-targets network of paclitaxel and docetaxel were constructed via STITCH by database mining, and its topological parameters and important nodes were analyzed. All will provide a systematic understanding for molecular mechanisms of paclitaxel and docetaxel in a quick and visual way.
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INTRODUCTION

Taxanes are amongst the most promising antitumor agents available at hand today, of increasing importance given that cancer is currently one of the world’s major public health problems which need to be dealt urgently for the benefit of affected patients (Fauzee, 2011). In brief, the taxanes mainly group paclitaxel (Taxol) and Docetaxel (Taxotere) as well as taxanes homologs (Fauzee, 2011). Paclitaxel, identified in 1971, was originally isolated from the bark of the yew tree (Taxusbrevifolia) (Yared et al., 2012). Later, due to the initial limited availability of paclitaxel, a new taxane drug, docetaxel was discovered by a French group (Yared et al., 2012, de Weger VA et al., 2014).

Nowadays, paclitaxel and docetaxel are the effective drug for a broad range of human cancer, especially breast, ovarian, lung, prostate, gastric and head and neck cancer (Geney et al., 2005, Yared et al., 2012, de Weger VA et al., 2014). Their antitumor activity is primarily by stabilization of the microtubule dynamics, disruption of the cell cycle and thereby causing cancer cell death (Yared et al., 2012, de Weger et al., 2014). During the past decades, these unique hydrophobic mitotic inhibitors have been thoroughly investigated through numerous experimental and clinical trials, which have brought over tens of thousands of publications in the Pubmed database (Fauzee, 2011). So, it is a big challenge to gain a systematic overview of the mechanisms from such massive amounts of data.

Network pharmacology, first proposed by Hopkins in 2007, is a new approach to drug design that encompasses systems biology, network analysis, connectivity, redundancy and pleiotropy (Hopkins, 2007 and 2008). Therefore, a drug-target network approach of integrating information on a drug, gene, protein, cell and a disease can be a good solution for more efficient drug discovery, especially as more biological, chemical and interaction data are produced (Lee et al., 2009). Now, it is considered that systematic effects of a drug-target network will be eventually take into account in the drug design, which could pave the way to more specific drugs for diseases (Yildirim et al., 2007). So, network pharmacology will be a powerful approach to understand the molecular mechanisms of paclitaxel and docetaxel.

The purpose of this study is to construct the drug-target network of paclitaxel and docetaxel by database mining. Next, the topological parameters of these networks were analyzed by network-related tools. We proposed to gain a quick and comprehensive understanding of molecular pharmacological mechanisms of taxanes.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Network constructed by STITCH 4.0 database
Firstly, paclitaxel and docetaxel were entered into the STITCH 4.0 (accessed at http://stitch.embl.de) search panel respectively. The high confidence of the required confidence (score) was set to 0.700. The maximum number of interactions was set to 500. The other parameters were kept as default values. Then, the network files were downloaded.

Network parameters analyzed by network analyzer
The files obtained in the previous step were imported into Cytoscape soft, then into Network Analyzer and treated as undirected. The other parameters were set to default values. Then, a set of topological parameters (clustering coefficient, closeness centrality, between ness centrality, and topological coefficient etc) were calculated.

Top nods identified by STITCH
A confidence score, assigned by STITCH database, was
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used to assess each protein-protein, chemical-protein, or chemical-chemical interaction (Kuhn et al. 2008, 2010, 2012 and 2014) Paclitaxel and docetaxel were entered into the STITCH search panel individually, and the parameter settings were as describe above.

RESULTS

Network constructed via database mining

Networks can be manually constructed with the user assigning positions and node description characteristics, or could be formed by query public databases to assign further node descriptions (Pastrello et al., 2013). As described in the methods section, we set a threshold of high confidence in the network to separate relevant interactions, and constructed the drug-target networks of taxanes querying from STITCH database on November 18, 2014. With this constraint, the paclitaxel network consists of 157 nodes and 752 edges, and the docetaxel has 76 nodes and 344 edges (fig. 1 and table 1).

Parameters analyzed by Network Analyzer

As listed in table 1 and shown in fig. 2, a group of topological parameters are provided by NetworkAnalyzer, including the degree distributions, average clustering coefficients, topological coefficients, and characteristic path lengths, network diameters, average number of neighbors, network density and so on.

Top nodes identified by STITCH

According confidence scores, EGFR, CYP2C8 and ABCB1, is the top 3 nodes in paclitaxel network, as well as CYP3A4, TYMP and ABCB1 in docetaxel network (table 2). And the two networks share many same nodes, such as EGFR, ABCB1, AKT1, BIRC5 and CAP3A4 (table 2). The top 10 nodes also formed a sub-network (fig. 3).

DISCUSSION

Target discovery is the most critical step in the modern drug development (Yang et al., 2009). A drug that interact with multiple targets can form an interaction network, which may offer higher efficacy and limit drawbacks of a single-target drug (Anighoro et al., 2014). With the explosion of biological data in the rapid development of omics era, network pharmacology will be the next paradigm in drug discovery (Hopkins, 2008, Yang et al., 2009). In addition, many methods were created for its studies. For example, STITCH (search tool for interaction of chemicals) is a database for protein-chemical interactions (Kuhn et al. 2008, 2010, 2012 and 2014). It contains 390 000 chemicals and 3.6 million proteins from 1133 organisms (Kuhn et al., 2014). Recently, the database was used to search for the potential D-amino acid oxidase inhibitors (Zhao et al., 2014). And in a systematic experimental research, the drug-target interactions from three kinase inhibitor studies were compared with the data in the ChEMBL and STITCH databases (Tang et al., 2014). Another example, Cytoscape, a general-purpose and open-source software, can integrate, visualize and analyze biological networks (Shannon et al., 2003, Saito et al., 2012). The Network Analyzer plugin, installed in Cytoscape by default, calculates and displays a set of topological parameters of biological networks such as the distribution of node degrees (Assenov et al., 2008, Saito et al., 2012). And it was fully utilized in a protocol for network topology analysis (Doncheva et al., 2012). Therefore, these tools can be useful to understanding the drug-target networks of taxanes.

There, we constructed drug-target network of paclitaxel and docetaxel by query public STITCH database. A typical feature of scale-free networks is that most proteins connect to each with only a few interactions, while a few participate in dozens (Barabasi et al., 2004). So, fig. 1 showed the drug-target networks of paclitaxel and docetaxel are also scale-free.

Fig. 1: Network around paclitaxel and docetaxel. (a) paclitaxel; (b) docetaxel. Chemical is represented as pill-shaped nodes, while proteins are shown as spheres (Kuhn et al., 2008). Nodes that are associated to each other are linked by an edge.
Network topology is a description of the arrangement of elements (nodes, interactions) in a network (Saito et al., 2012). Degree (or connectivity), one of the most important features of a network, denotes how many the nodes connect with others (Barabasi et al., 2004). The degree distribution in fig. 2 illustrates its scale-free topology, which is consistent with the fig. 1. Another topological parameter, path length means the distance in networks, which tell us how long the expected distance between interconnecting nodes (Barabasi et al., 2004, Chen, 2013). The average number of neighbors refers to the average connectivity of a node in the network (Chen, 2013). And network centralization indicates the concentration of communication in the network (Patterson et al., 2013). As listed in table 1, the paclitaxel network has the longer characteristic path length, the more network heterogeneity, the more network centralization, and the higher average number of neighbors. The average clustering coefficient characterizes the overall tendency of nodes to form clusters or groups, and network density shows how densely the network is populated with edges (Barabasi et al., 2004, Chen, 2013). As shown in table 1, the docetaxel network has the more network density and the higher clustering coefficient. These computational analysis and interactive visualization will help us to gain insights into taxanes’ biological processes (Doncheva et al., 2012).

Hubs, nodes with a high degree, refer to some important proteins that can interact with a large number of nodes in a biological network (Doncheva NT, 2012, Patil A et al., 2010). They play the important roles in the network’s

**Fig. 2:** The topological parameters of taxanes networks. (a) paclitaxel; (b) docetaxel.

**Table 1:** Topological parameters of the paclitaxel and docetaxel networks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Paclitaxel</th>
<th>Docetaxel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of nodes</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of edges</td>
<td>752</td>
<td>344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristic path length</td>
<td>1.937</td>
<td>1.873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network heterogeneity</td>
<td>1.539</td>
<td>1.142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network centralization</td>
<td>0.938</td>
<td>0.876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. number of neighbors</td>
<td>9.580</td>
<td>9.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network density</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clustering coefficient</td>
<td>0.458</td>
<td>0.525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network diameter</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2:** The 10 top-ranked nodes in paclitaxel and docetaxel network.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ranking</th>
<th>Paclitaxel</th>
<th>Docetaxel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
<td>CYP3A4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CYP2C8</td>
<td>TYMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ABCB1</td>
<td>ABCB1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>AKT1</td>
<td>CASP3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CASP3</td>
<td>CYP3A5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>BIRC5</td>
<td>CYP1B1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>CYP3A4</td>
<td>BIRC5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>CDK1</td>
<td>AKT1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>BCL2L1</td>
<td>ABCG2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>PARP1</td>
<td>EGFR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
function and stability (Doncheva NT, 2012, Patil A et al, 2010). As shown in table 2, the top 10 nodes were identified (table 2), and formed a sub-network (fig. 3). The top three nodes in paclitaxel network corresponds to EGFR, CYP2C8 and ABCB1, whereas CYP3A4, TYMP and ABCB1 in docetaxel network.

Cytochrome enzyme P450 is one of the most important nodes in the two networks (table 2). And, a review summarized that paclitaxel is primarily metabolized by the CYP2C8 and CYP3A, while docetaxel is only by CYP3A4 (de Weger et al., 2014). It consists with the result of table 2. In addition, the human ABCB1 protein, a plasma membrane-bound glycoprotein, is involved in multidrug resistance and affects drug pharmacokinetics during the treatment of various diseases (Kimura et al., 2007, Wolf et al., 2011). Tulsyan et al reported that CYP and ABCB1 gene polymorphisms have an important influence on the efficacy of taxanes in breast cancer treatments (Tulsyan et al., 2014). The epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), one of four homologous transmembrane proteins, regulates cell differentiation, proliferation and survival through binding its ligands, playing a vital role in the development of cancer (Jorissen et al., 2003, Mendelsohn J, 2001). Paclitaxel can transiently transactivate EGFR, modulate the cell survival pathway, and induce cancer cell resistance, indicating a combination treatment may be better in the ovarian cancer management (Qiu et al., 2005). Thymidine phosphorylase (TYMP) can stimulate cancer growth, or enhance the antitumoral efficacy of chemotherapeutic agent, playing a dual role in tumor development and treatment(Bronckaers et al., 2009). Puglisi reported that combination of low doses of capecitabine with weekly docetaxel is active against metastatic breast cancer, and TYMP expression may be a promising marker for medical benefit (Puglisi F et al., 2008). Therefore, these hubs can serve as a biological marker in the paclitaxel and docetaxel network.

CONCLUSION

With the rising flood of biomedical data in an omics era, data mining approaches that discover previously unknown information from different written resources will play an increasingly significant part in targets selecting, interaction network constructing and cellular mechanisms understanding in the first stage of drug development (Yang et al., 2009). Network visualization can be a powerful approach for data interpretation and analysis (Pastrello et al., 2013). In this article, we constructed drug-target network of paclitaxel and docetaxel by data mining from STITCH 4.0 database. Then, we analyzed the network parameters by computational tool. Although the deficiencies in this article may include the false positive and data missing, the paper may provide a quick understanding the targets of taxanes, which may help a systematic understanding of their molecular mechanisms in a visual manner.
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