Structural and functional annotation of citrate synthase from *Aspergillus niger* ANJ-120
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Abstract: Citrate synthase (CS) is involved in citric acid biosynthesis which is a well-established metabolic pathway. The condensation of acetyl-CoA with oxaloacetate is catalyzed by CS. Citric acid (CA) has a number of applications in pharmaceutical industry. CA in combination with bicarbonates is used as an effervescent in the preparations of tablets and powders. It has also been used as an anticoagulant and acidulant to form mild astringent. In current study, detailed structural and functional analyses of CS protein were carried out using various bioinformatics tools. Structural modeling was also done by building 3D model of CS from *Aspergillus niger* ANJ-120 using Modeller 9.16 software. The 3D Model was then evaluated using different online approaches. Furthermore, superimposition of query and template structures, Root Mean Squared Deviation and visualization of generated model were done through UCSF Chimera 1.5.3. Even though various roles of CS protein were already known and verified experimentally, here we presented a structural analysis of CS protein. The structural investigation of CS protein will be helpful for protein engineering strategies and understanding the interactions among proteins. Due to large number of applications, the production of citric acid by *A. niger* and its bioinformatics studies will offer substantial improvement in commercial scale intensification of this useful product.
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INTRODUCTION

Citrate synthase (CS, E.C. 4.1.3.7) catalyzes the condensation reaction of acetyl-CoA with oxaloacetate to produce citrate and coenzyme A. CS is involved in the biosynthesis of citric acid that is a well-established metabolic pathway (Mustafa *et al.*, 2014). Due to its spacious range of applications, citric acid is extensively used in food and pharmaceutical industries (Magnuson and Lasure, 2004). Citric acid has been sold as an anhydrous or monohydrate acid in world market and 70% of its total production is used as an acidifier or antioxidant in various food and beverage industries for the preservation and/or enhancement of flavors (Lancini, 2008). About 20% of citric acid has been used in pharmaceutical industry as an antioxidant for the preservation of blood and vitamins, effervescent and pH corrector. It has also been used as an iron source in the form of iron citrate for the body as well as in tablets and balms (Max *et al.*, 2010).

It was demonstrated first time by Wehmer (1983) that citric acid gets accumulated by *Penicillium* in a sugar and inorganic salts containing medium. Since then, citric acid has been found to be accumulated by various organisms. *Aspergillus niger* which is widely used in biotechnology for food ingredients, pharmaceuticals and industrial enzymes production, belongs to the family of black aspergilli. Although it is considered as “cell factory” for both citric acid and gluconic acid productions (Ruijter *et al.*, 2002), but it is generally known as citric acid producer (Magnuson and Lasure, 2004). Under specific growth conditions, when glucose or sucrose is used as a carbon source in the growth medium of *A. niger*, it produces high yields of citric acid. Production of citric acid by *A. niger* is given out as model fungal fermentation process as the fungus is producing over one million metric tons of citric acid annually (Baker, 2006). The importance of *A. niger* as citric acid producer is unambiguous from the fact that it has been studied for nearly 100 years for citric acid fermentation (Currie, 1917). The daily conversion of feedstock sugar into citric acid is >90% with a yield of >200 g/L and these efficiencies are more striking as compared to other fermentation processes. As the commercial importance of citric acid is increased, a number of efforts are being employed to enhance the production of citric acid to meet its mounting demands. The current study was therefore, designed to annotate CS protein sequence structurally and functionally. Three dimensional structure of CS was also modeled and compared with the template to find out structural differences between both models.
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METHODS

Sequence and Physicochemical analyses
The DNA sequence of citrate synthase gene of our previous study (Mustafa et al., 2014) was obtained from GenBank using accession number (GenBank: KC847093.1). To find accurate coding region and amino acid sequence of CS gene, Softberry FGENESH was used (Solovyev et al., 2006). Physicochemical properties were calculated from ExPaSy server (Gasteiger et al., 2003). ProtParam (Gasteiger et al., 2005) was also used for the prediction of physicochemical properties such as molecular weight, estimated half-life, theoretical pl, amino acid composition, atomic composition, aliphatic index, instability index and grand average of hydropathicity (GRAVY). To further confirm theoretical pl and molecular weight, Compute pi/MW was used. Hydrophobicity value was obtained through ProtScale (Bjellqvist et al., 1993).

Domain and Motif predictions
Interproscan (Burge et al., 2012) and NCBI Conserved Domain (CDD) (Marchler-Bauer et al., 2005) were used to predict domains in CS protein. SMART (Schultz et al., 1998) and Pfam (Sonhammer et al., 1997) were used to further confirm and interpret detailed functions and interactions of predicted domains. Motif analysis was done using Motifscan tool (Yusim et al., 2003).

Prediction of secondary structure elements
Local inter-residue interactions which are facilitated by H-bonds are found in the secondary structures of proteins. Alpha helices and beta sheets are the most common secondary structure elements in proteins. Secondary structure of CS protein was predicted using PsiPred (McGuffin et al., 2000), and Chou & Fasman secondary structure prediction server (Chou and Fasman, 1974). The information obtained from predicted secondary structure of CS was used for the improvement of alignment between template and query protein sequences and to build 3D model of CS protein.

Homology modeling to predict 3D structure
CPH Model Server (Krieger et al., 2003) was used to search template. Template PDB ID 4CTS was selected from this server. Alignment was done between template and target sequence using MODELLERv 9.16 (Webb and Sali, 2014). 3D structure was predicted using sequence alignment of template and target sequences by MODELLERv 9.16. Five models for CS protein were built through python script commands. MODELLERv 9.16 was also used to optimize loops of homology model which is necessary to improve quality of predicted model. Evaluation of predicted 3D model was done using ModEval (Barkan et al., 2010), Dope profile (Shen and Sali, 2006), Verify 3D (Luthy et al., 1992), ProSA-web (Wiederstein and Sippl, 2007), Z-scores, Qmean plots (Benkert et al., 2008) and PROCHECK Ramachandran plots (Lovell et al., 2002). Moreover, RMSD, superimposition of target and template 3D models and visualization of predicted models were accomplished through UCSF Chimera 1.5.3 workbench (Pettersen et al., 2004).

RESULTS

The present study was planned to perform sequence and structure analyses of A. niger citrate synthase protein.

Physicochemical properties of CS
ProtParam was used to predict physicochemical properties of CS protein from A. niger (table 1). The protein was found to have 460 amino acids, molecular weight of 50634.94 Daltons and isoelectric point (pl) of 8.39. pl >7 shows that protein is positively charged. The instability index (II) was found to be 26.76. This categorizes the protein as stable. The considered N-terminal sequence was T (Thr) and estimated half-life was found to be 7.2 hours (mammalian reticulocytes, in vitro), >20 hours (yeast, in vivo) and >10 hours (Escherichia coli, in vivo). The negative Grand average of hydropathicity (GRAVY) was -0.231, which shows that protein is hydrophilic and soluble in nature (Mustafa et al., 2017). Leucine and alanine were found in rich quantity in CS proteins.

Annotation of citrate synthase protein
The functional profile of a protein is indicated by its domains and motifs. Fig. 1 shows the visual output of domains found in citrate synthase protein predicted by InterProScan (version 4.8). Only one domain was predicted in CS and the results were in accordance with those of Pfam and SMART.

The location of predicted citrate synthase, C-terminal domain by Pfam was from 66 to 445 and of 361 amino acids Hidden Markov Model (HMM) length. ScanProsite also predicted a citrate synthase signature as an active site between amino acids 339-351. A leucine zipper pattern was also predicted in the CS protein from amino acid 277 to 298.

Other important sites predicted in citrate synthase protein have been shown in fig. 1b. A polypeptide binding site (dimer interface), active site, coenzyme A binding site (chemical binding), citrylCoA binding site (chemical binding), oxalacetate/ citrate binding site (chemical binding) and catalytic triad (active site) have been found in the CS protein.

Protein secondary structure prediction
Chou & Fasman server was accessed to predict the secondary structure of CS protein. Results showed that CS is a mixed proteins having compositions of Helices = 68%, Sheets = 62.6% and Turns = 12.6%.
Secondary structure of CS protein was also predicted through Garnier-Osguthorpe-Robson (GOR) method (Garnier et al., 1996). Values of alpha helices, beta sheets, turns and coils were given to each residue (fig. 2). The protein secondary structure analysis of CS with GOR4 online tool expressed that $\alpha$-helices accounted for 39.35%, extended strand accounted for 15.43% and random coils accounted for 45.22%. These are the largest regions of structural elements of CS.

The hydrophobic residues are repelled by surrounding water molecules and therefore embedded within the protein. This trend along with 3D conditions, space and other factors eventually govern the construction of a protein folding into a 3D conformation. The results of ProtScale analysis revealed that the maximum hydrophobicity of CS was 2.122 and minimum was -2.8 (fig. 3). The amino acids in the region 77 ~ 85, 115 ~ 125, 190 ~ 220, 240 ~ 265, 410 ~ 440 for CS have strong
hydrophobic properties and the majority of these regions is found to be α-helices, while the corresponding random coil region is predicted to have a very low level of hydrophobicity.

The protein sequences of CS was also submitted to the PSIPRED server in the format of single letter amino acid code and PSIPRED prediction method was selected to predict the secondary structure of CS (fig. 4).

**3D structure prediction using homology approach**

Tertiary structure of CS was predicted by homology modeling. In our study, the 3D structure of CS was predicted by computing modeling using Modeller9v16 software and visualized in Chimera1.6.2 software. Modeller is a python based homology modeling tool used for modeling proteins. Out of 10 models generated for CS protein, one best-fit model was selected on the basis of minimal violation of probability density function (fig. 5a).

**Evaluation of protein structure quality**

Predicted model of CS protein was evaluated by ModEval, Verify 3D and ProSA-web tools. According to Verify 3D, 86.21% of residues of predicted 3D structure of CS had an averaged 3D-1D score ≥0.2. The predicted 3D model of a protein is considered good if at least 80% of the amino acids have score ≥0.2 in the 3D-1D profile (Luthy et al., 1992).

The z-DOPE (normalized Discrete Optimized Protein Energy) of predicted model was found to be -0.879. The score of GA341 was found to be 1.000. The z-score of the predicted structure was -10.21 that is in the range of scores obtained for proteins with related sizes (fig. 5b). Energy plot of predicted 3D structure is also showing (dark green line) that all residues are at very stable position (fig. 5c).

Ramachandran’s plot calculations using ProCheck was used to evaluate the stereochemistry of backbone Psi and Phi dihedral angles. Percentage of residues of CS occupying most favored regions (A,B,L) is 83.4% while 14.2% occupying additional allowed regions (a,b,l,p), 1.9% and 0.5% resides in generously allowed (−a,−b,−l,−p) and disallowed regions respectively (fig. 5d). It was ascertained on the basis of these results that the predicted model is of good quality.

A structural comparison of predicted 3D structure of CS with its template (i.e. 4CTS) was performed on the bases of structure superimposition (fig. 6). There was 63.91% identity between both superimposed structures. The score of root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) was 1.341 Å with sequence lengths of 437 (template) and 435 (target) amino acids. The values of structural distance measure (SDM) (with cutoff value of 5.0) and Q-score were found to be 26.431 and 0.814, respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

Citric acid has widespread applications in different fields including pharmaceutical industry. Chowdhury et al. (2009) used citric acid as a feed additive in broiler chicks instead of avilamycin that is an antibiotic growth promoter. They found that growth performance of broiler chicks was enhanced along with increase in their bone ash
deposition. Healthy broilers were produced with higher immune status to combat against various infectious diseases and enteric pathogens. The use of citric acid as a supplementation in diet creates an acidic environment of pH 3.5 to 4.0 in the gut of animals that is a favorable environment for the growth of lactobacilli and also inhibits *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella* and other Gram-negative bacteria to replicate in this environment (Denil et al., 2003).

The consensus pattern of predicted citrate synthase, C-terminal domain according to ScanProsite is G-[FYAV]-[GA]-H-x-[IV]-x(1,2)-[RKTQ]-x(2)-[DV]-[PS]-R where histidine (H) is an active site residue. The prokaryotic CS is composed of six identical subunits while eukaryotic CS is composed of two isozymes. One isozyme is present in the mitochondrial matrix and other is cytoplasmic. These isozymes seem to be dimers of identical chains (Karpusas et al., 1990). A leucine zipper explains how some regulatory proteins of eukaryotic genes work (Landschulz et al., 1988). There is a periodic repetition of leucine residues at every 7th position over eight helical turns. The consensus pattern of leucine zipper is L-x(6)-L-x(6)-L-x(6)-L according to ScanProsite. The periodic arrays of this zipper exist in α-helical conformations. A dimerization occurs because of interactions between α-helices of two polypeptides and the resultant structure is called as coiled coil. This pattern has been found in various regulatory proteins (Busch and Sassone-Corsi, 1990).

The consensus pattern of predicted citrate synthase, C-terminal domain according to ScanProsite is G-[FYAV]-[GA]-H-x-[IV]-x(1,2)-[RKTQ]-x(2)-[DV]-[PS]-R where histidine (H) is an active site residue. The prokaryotic CS is composed of six identical subunits while eukaryotic CS is composed of two isozymes. One isozyme is present in the mitochondrial matrix and other is cytoplasmic. These isozymes seem to be dimers of identical chains (Karpusas et al., 1990). A leucine zipper explains how some regulatory proteins of eukaryotic genes work (Landschulz et al., 1988). There is a periodic repetition of leucine residues at every 7th position over eight helical turns. The consensus pattern of leucine zipper is L-x(6)-L-x(6)-L-x(6)-L according to ScanProsite. The periodic arrays of this zipper exist in α-helical conformations. A dimerization occurs because of interactions between α-helices of two polypeptides and the resultant structure is called as coiled coil. This pattern has been found in various regulatory proteins (Busch and Sassone-Corsi, 1990).
A consensus sequence (i.e. Ser-Lys-Leu) was found at C-terminus that is known as C-terminal microbodies C-terminal targeting signal (CMTS). Peroxisomes, glyoxysomes and glycosomes are a class of small and single membraned organelles of microbodies. These proteins are imported post-translationally into organelle after their synthesis on free polysomes. All microbody proteins do not contain CMTS, some proteins have been found an internal CMTS-like sequence but their activity has not been studied. An N-terminal presequence has been found in few proteins that is cleaved off during their import (Gould et al., 1988). Two N-glycosylation sites were also predicted in the CS protein at positions 191-194 and 264-267. The consensus pattern of this site is N-{P}·-[ST]-{P}. Consensus tripeptide is not enough to make a conclusion that asparagine is glycosylated. It has been found that protein folding is important for the regulation of N-glycosylation (Pless and Lennarz, 1977).

Idrees et al. (2012) have reported that cellular functions are mostly restricted in particular compartments. The prediction of subcellular localizations of novel proteins can therefore serve to predict functions and understanding about mechanisms. From sequencing projects, the influx of genomic data is arising and because of this the methods for efficient and automatic protein structure predictions

**Fig. 5:** Predicted 3D structure of CS and its evaluation. (a) 3D structure of citrate synthase, (b) z-score plot showing the quality of predicted model, (c) Energy plot showing the positions of all residues of predicted model, the plot is presented with window size 10 and 40, (d) Ramachandran plot analysis of the modeled structure.
are becoming increasingly important. A reliable secondary structure prediction of protein is carried out by PSIPRED (McGuffin et al., 2000) which incorporates three methods (PSIPRED, GenTHREADER and MEMSAT 2) to predict information related to the protein using amino acid sequence. The PSIPRED is a highly accurate secondary structure prediction method and is incorporated by two feed-forward neural networks which perform the secondary structure prediction analysis on the output which is obtained from PSI-BLAST (Position Specific Iterated BLAST) (Altschul et al., 1997).

To predict 3D structure, homology modeling approach is used and considered as the most suitable one to build protein structure and generally a 30% sequence identity is required (Brooks et al., 2008). To judge the accuracy levels and suitability of the predicted model for the intended applications, the evaluation of predicted model is an essential step in the protein structure modeling. To estimate the errors in the predicted models, either the energy of the model or the resemblance of a given characteristic of model to real structures comes into account (Sippl, 1995). z-DOPE is an atomic distance-dependent statistical score and negative values of z-DOPE indicate better models (Shen and Sali, 2006). The GA341 score is used to check the reliability of a model that is derived from statistical potentials. A model is predicted to be reliable when its GA341 score is higher than a pre-specified cutoff that is equal to 0.7 (Melo et al., 2002). The z-score is used to check overall model quality through a plot in which groups of structures from X-ray and NMR are displayed by different colors (Wiederstein and Sippl, 2007).

Even if predicted 3D model and template structures superimposed perfectly, alone RMSD values are not meaningful as its lower values could be achieved simply by using less number of residues for calculations. Therefore, number of positions (alignment length) used for RMSD calculations should also be mentioned (Mustafa and Jamil, 2017). The values of SDM have been found linearly related with sequence-derived distances. As a rule, the value of SDM is zero for two identical structures and it gets increased with decrease in similarity (Johnson et al., 1990). For two well superposed structures, the Q-scores have been found to agree fairly well from various servers. Completely dissimilar or unsuperimposed structures have Q-scores of zero whereas identical structures have Q-score of 1 (Krissinel and Henrick, 2004).

CONCLUSION

An effort was made in this study to predict the 3D structure of citrate synthase from A. niger ANJ-120. This study provides simultaneous structural and functional annotation of CS, prediction and validation of 3D structure of CS protein from the fungus. The outcome of this study might provide a platform for simultaneous structural comparative analysis with CS proteins from other fungi and help in finding out variations in their structures to explore why A. niger is a good producer of citric acid. We predicted three dimensional structure of CS protein that will be useful in docking analysis which can be used to reveal various substrates and products of this enzyme. Further, the structural investigation of this protein would be helpful for protein engineering strategies and understanding the interactions between proteins in future.
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